SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY SELF-DETERMINATION ACT OF 2000 PUBLIC LAW 106-393

TITLE II PROJECT SUBMISSION FORM USDA FOREST SERVICE

Name of Resource Advisory Committee:

Fresno County

Project Number (Assigned by Designated Federal Official):

Funding Fiscal Year(s): 2010

2. Project Name: Huntington Lake Recreation Area	3a. State: California 3b. County(s): Fresno
4. Project Submitted By: The High Sierra Volunteer Trail Crew 1. VTM –Vital Trail Maintenance 2. YEP – Youth Education Programs	5. Date: June to October 2010
6. Contact Phone Number: 559-325-2874	7. Contact E-mail: Shane@trailcrew.org

8. Project Location:	
a. National Forest(s): Sierra National	b. Forest Service District: High Sierra Ranger District
c. Location (Township-Range-Section) Township 8 south Range 26 east	

9. Project Goals and Objectives:

The Goal: In accordance with a request by Teri Drivas Recreation Officer for the Sierra National Forest we will provide routine trail maintenance on these 4 trails as per our agreement with The Sierra National Forest.

The Log and Prescriptions for the work were provided to the high sierra volunteer trail crew by the Sierra National Forest. The goal is through a well supported logistical plan and a highly-trained crew of volunteers they will provide volunteers for trail work to all 10.09 miles starting June 2010 and ending October 2010.

10. Project Description:

a. Brief: (*in one sentence*) Routine trail maintenance, removal of wind-fallen trees clean, repair and install water bars and drainage dips, which will prevent future erosion issues. b. Detailed:

- **Huntington Shore** (Huntington Lake Trail) Class 3, 3.7 miles (non-wilderness)
 - Routine maintenance; brushing, water bars cleaning and installation, tread work where needed and other trail maintenance as time allows and listed in condition survey
- Indian Pools (accessed from the Sierra Summit back parking lot) Class 3, 0.69 miles (non-wilderness)
 - Upgrade and complete trail work to the pools as per Forest Service Trail Standards.
- Rancheria Falls NRT Trail Class 4, 0.7 miles (non-wilderness)
 - Modernize trail bringing it up to forest service standards.
- Kaiser Loop Trail Class 3, 5 miles (non-wilderness) from Billy Creek Trailhead to Deer Creek Trailhead;
 - Brushing, water bar cleaning and installation, tread work where needed, reduction of multiple trails, and other trail maintenance as time allows.

Version: October 2003

11. Types of Lands Involved? These trails all are with in the Huntington Lake recreation area				
State/Private/Other lands involved? Yes X No Land Status:				
If Yes, specify:				
12. How does the proposed project meet pu	<u> </u>			
X Improves maintenance of existing infrastructur				
X Implements stewardship objectives that enhance	e forest ecosystems.			
X Restores and improves land health.				
X Restores water quality				
13. Project Type				
a. Check all that apply: (check at least 1) Road Maintenance	X Trail Maintenance			
Road Decommission/Obliteration	Trail Obliteration			
Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify):	Hail Conteration			
Soil Productivity Improvement	Forest Health Improvement			
Watershed Restoration & Maintenance	Wildlife Habitat Restoration			
Fish Habitat Restoration	Control of Noxious Weeds			
Reestablish Native Species	Fuels Management/Fire Prevention			
Community Economic Benefit	Other Project Type (specify):			
b. Primary Purpose (select only 1):				
14. Identify What the Project Will Accomp	plish			
Miles of road maintained:				
Miles of road decommissioned/obliterated:				
Number of structures maintained/improved:				
Acres of soil productivity improved:				
Miles of stream/river restored/improved:				
Miles of fish habitat restored/improved:				
Acres of native species reestablished:				
Miles of trail maintained: 10.09 miles				

Miles of trial obliterated:				
Acres of forest health improved (including fuels reduction):				
Acres of rangeland improved:				
Acres of wildlife habitat restored/improved:				
Acres of noxious weeds controlled:				
Timber volume generated:				
Number of jobs generated:				
People reached (for environmental education proje	cts/fire prevention):			
Direct economic activity benefit:				
Other:				
15. Estimated Project Start Date: June 2010	16. Estimated Project Completion Date: October 2010			
This project will utilize the strength of cooperative relationships between federal land users. We have our volunteers cooperating with packers, resort owners, utility companies, ski areas, and with a diverse array of forest visitors. Working together on a project, we find that people join together with a common purpose and overcome obstacles that might seem insurmountable in everyday life. Users with diverse interests and agendas gain a better understanding and appreciation for other's ways of relating to federal lands; the result is often a unilateral commitment to protecting federal lands for all users. In addition, when our volunteers work on the trails, in the forest, and even in the campgrounds; forest visitors are very impressed by our efforts and dedication, usually they will leave with an understanding of the effort that it takes to maintain access to public lands while at the same time protecting our natural resources. Some of the groups we are partnering with are: The Fresno Regional foundation, Black Student Union and the Fresno High Outdoor education program, Mothers Love of west Fresno 18. How is this project in the best interest of the community? Identify benefits to communities.				
These four trails service a vary heavily impacted recreation area on the Sierra National Forest with hikers, stock animals and backpackers – routine trail maintenance is critical on this trail. Routine trail maintenance on this trail will also provide the opportunity for underserved youth to participate in restoring a historic trail, while making it a safe route for the public to use and eliminating heavy erosion in the area				
19. How does the project benefit federal lands/resources? The work that we do helps the Forest Service meet the challenges of forest stewardship. Trail maintenance provides				
watershed protection, maintains water quality, protects resources, and mitigates impacts of recreational use.				
20. What is the Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment? (check at least 1)				
Contract	Federal Workforce			
County Workforce	X Volunteers			
Grant	Agreement			
Americorps CCC Crews				
Other (specify):				

21. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? Yes X No					
22. Anticipated Project Costs					
a. Title II Funds Requested: \$4,654					
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \text{ X No} \)					
 23. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding: The Sierra National Forest has stated that they my have a small amount of fur commitment has been affirmed on this 24. Monitoring Plan (provide as attachment) a. Provide a plan that describes your process for track 					
on your environmental and community goals outlin	ned above.				
This project is approved and monitored by Sierra National Forest provide a detail accomplishment report to the Supervisors Office					
b. Identify who will conduct the monitoring:					
Sierra National Forest, Asst. Recreation Officer, Susan Berkendin	ne				
c. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified\$1,000	monitoring tasks (Worksheet 1, Item k):				
25. Identify remedies for failure to comply with the terms of this agree of the complete of the page of the complete of the page.	9				
X Unused funds will be returned to the RAC account.					
Other, please explain:					
Project Recommended By:	Project Approved By:				
/s/ (INSERT Signature) Chairperson	/s/ (INSERT Signature) Forest Supervisor				
Resource Advisory Committee	National Forest				

Project Cost Analysis Worksheet

Worksheet 1

Please submit this worksheet with your proposal

Item	Column A Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution	Column B Requested Title II Contribution	Column C Other Contributions	Column D Total Available Funds
a. Field Work & Site Surveys				
b. NEPA/CEQA				
c. ESA Consultation				
d. Permit Acquisition				
e. Project Design & Engineering				
f. Contract/Grant Preparation				
g. Contract/Grant Administration				
h. Contract/Grant Cost				
i. Salaries				
j. Materials & Supplies				
k. Monitoring	\$1,000			\$ 1,000
1. Other- Food & Supplies		\$3,654		\$ 3,654
m. Project Sub-Total	\$1,000	\$ 3,654		\$ 4,654
n. Indirect Costs				
o. Total Cost Estimate	\$1,000	\$ 3,654		\$ 4,654

^{**} A request was sent to Susan Berkendine for the Fed. Agency contributions for column A but I did not receive a response. ***

NOTES:

- a. Pre-NEPA Costs
- g. Includes Contracting/Grant Officer Representative (COR) costs. Excludes Contracting/Grant Officer costs.
- i. Cost of implementing project
- 1. Examples include overhead charges from other partners, vehicles, equipment rentals, travel, etc.
- n. Contracting/Grant Officer costs, if needed, are included as part of Indirect Costs.